



**Kevin Murray**  
<kmurray@chapman.co  
m>

03/30/2007 08:08 PM

Please respond to  
kmurray@chapman.com

To Peggy Livingston/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Statement of Work for Richardson Flat

History: This message has been forwarded.

I will get you a mark up. As I mentioned in an earlier e mail, by making the SOW a part of the performance standards it has caused us to review the SOW in light of the ROD and to make sure nothing in the SOW goes beyond the ROD.

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: Livingston.Peggy@epamail.epa.gov

Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2007 15:20:24

To: kmurray@chapman.com

Subject: Statement of Work for Richardson Flat

Kevin: Kathy spoke with Kerry, and it sounds as if Kerry's concern is over the monitoring requirements in the SOW. How about changing sec. 2.4, in the second par., second sentence, which now requires surface water quality monitoring "until it is demonstrated that all water quality standards have been achieved at all surface water sampling sites at Richardson Flat that may impact Silver Creek. . . ." to requiring surface water monitoring "until it is demonstrated that all water quality standards have been achieved at the mouth of the diversion ditch?" Would this be acceptable to UPCM?

Peggy Livingston  
Enforcement Attorney  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80202-1129  
303-312-6858 (phone)  
303-312-7202 (fax)

Please note new street address and fax number.